

1 extend the time limits would deny counsel for defendant and the government the reasonable time
2 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
3 3161(h)(7).

4 4. The next court appearance in this case shall be October 3, at 9:30 a.m. before the duty
5 magistrate in Oakland, for preliminary hearing or indictment. The parties may seek further
6 extension of the time limits in Rule 5.1(c) by stipulation.

7 SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

8
9 Dated: September 11, 2013

/s/

10 _____
11 Garrick S. Lew
12 Attorney for Chang Park

13 Dated: September 11, 2013

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

14
15 /s/

16 _____
17 Carolyn Silane
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

$$\left. \begin{array}{l}) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \end{array} \right\}$$

Plaintiff,

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
APPROVING CONTINUATION
OF STATUS CONFERENCE

VS.

Defendant.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing and arraignment scheduled for September 12, 2013 is continued to October 3, 2013 at 9:30 a.m.

Kandis Westmore
United States Magistrate Judge